From:

A585 Windy Harbour to Skippool

Subject:

A 585 Windy Harbour to Skippool Objections.

Date: 11 July 2019 16:05:34

FROM: MR & MRS GEOFFREY ALAN EVANS REF: WHSK-AFP090 & WHSK-AFP091

Dear Sir.

Having attended previous meetings and spoken at one, we wish to reiterate our objections once again to this ill-conceived project which has not at any stage taken into consideration the every day experiences of local people living in this area, nor taken notice of the real issues that have been eloquently and knowledgeably put forward by many local residents.

Our feelings are that all of this frustration, which is taking part at the eleventh hour due to the lack of due diligence

by Highways England and by way of not informing from the onset, ALL of Poultons residents of exactly what plans were being proposed, consequently it is only now that the true impact of this incorrect design of a Poulton area by-pass has hit the local headlines!

In every ones opinion, who we and many others have spoken to, this proposed solution to a traffic problem will not solve the many frustrations that local people and visitors experience on the roads in this area. In fact it will most likely create more congestion, albeit in different areas, of the same route i.e. from the M55 motorway to Fleetwood.

At an open meeting we attended, a gentleman from Fleetwood Environment Agency, I believe his name is ______, stated that this project would be a total waste of £150 million pounds or more, when a proposal they spent a number of months advising Highways England about, that would take the traffic straight from the M55 to Fleetwood with run-offs and run-ons to the various destinations along the route and would not cost a great deal more and which would also solve the traffic issues (and include protection from flooding) as you would say "moving forward". Therefore, it would be a more beneficial way of spending hard-earned tax payers money!

We do agree that a by-pass for this area is greatly needed, but this proposal we hope you will see from all our objections, is totally the wrong plan and that you, as the government official overseeing these proposals, will see that even though there has already been quite a lot of money spent reaching this point, that the 'red light' should be put on this project as it is surely pointless pouring good money after bad.

Yours faithfully

Geoff & Sue Evans